

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/939,960	08/27/2001	Lane W. Lee	M-12043 US	6648	
7590 08/14/2006			EXAMINER		
MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP			BACKER, FIRMIN		
1762 TECHNO	LOGY DRIVE				
SUITE 226			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
San Jose, CA 95110			3621		
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/939,960	LEE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
FIRMIN BACKER	3621	

	FIRMIN BACKER	3621	
	-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
	Y FILED 15 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL		
l. ⊠ The this plac a Re	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affects the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in equest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply maperiods:	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🔲	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
nave been under 37 C set forth in nay reduce	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origi (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
the o	Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 March 2006</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4) al. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time periodents.	11.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the
3.	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NO They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);		ecause
	 They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reappeal; and/or 	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d)[They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rej NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	ected claims.	
1. The	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 App	olicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
non-	vly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, allowable claim(s).		
how The	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq\) will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq\) wi the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: m(s) allowed:	II be entered and an	explanation of
	m(s) objected to:		
Clai	m(s) rejected: m(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
	T OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8. 🗌 The	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Nause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidation not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	otice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
ente	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the red because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after e <u>FFOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u>	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. ⊠ Th <u>A</u> p	e request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application i plicant argument is not persuasive.		ince because:
	te the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s).	
13. 🔲 Oti	ner:	Franco &	Sorl
		FIRMIN BACKER	
		/ Primary Examiner	